The Growing Rift: Why Healthcare Providers Are Dropping Insurance Plans and Its Impact on Patient Care

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

September 17, 2024

Healthcare providers across the United States are increasingly terminating contracts with major insurance plans, including Medicare Advantage and commercial insurers, due to escalating financial and administrative pressures. This growing rift reflects deeper systemic issues, such as high rates of claim denials, delayed payments, and burdensome prior authorization requirements that hinder providers' ability to deliver timely and necessary care. The consequences extend beyond administrative inconveniences; they disrupt patient access, exacerbate provider burnout, and compromise the quality of healthcare delivery. Reports from the American Hospital Association and other healthcare organizations reveal that these insurer practices contribute to worsened health outcomes, financial instability for providers, and a loss of trust in the healthcare system. As this trend accelerates, the need for regulatory oversight and reforms that balance the interests of patients, providers, and insurers becomes increasingly urgent. This paper explores the factors driving healthcare providers to drop insurance plans, the impact on patient care, and potential policy solutions aimed at restoring a sustainable and patient-centered healthcare environment.

Keywords: healthcare providers, insurance plans, Medicare Advantage, commercial insurers, claim denials, delayed payments, prior authorization, patient care, administrative burdens, financial strain, provider burnout, regulatory oversight, healthcare reform, American Hospital Association, patient access, quality of care.

Introduction

The U.S. healthcare system is undergoing significant challenges as the relationship between healthcare providers and insurance companies becomes increasingly strained. This landscape is marked by a growing rift in which hospitals, health systems, and physician groups are reconsidering and, in many cases, actively terminating contracts with major insurance providers, including Medicare Advantage and commercial plans. These disruptions are not merely administrative decisions; they are indicative of deeper, systemic issues that threaten to compromise patient care, provider sustainability, and the overall integrity of the healthcare system.

In recent years, healthcare providers have increasingly expressed frustration with the administrative and financial burdens imposed by insurers. Medicare Advantage plans, which cover nearly half of all Medicare beneficiaries, have come under particular scrutiny due to high denial rates, delayed payments, and excessive prior authorization requirements. Providers argue that these practices impede their ability to deliver timely and necessary care to patients, contributing to a cycle of delays, increased costs, and declining care quality. Commercial insurers are also accused of implementing policies that often deny or delay medically necessary services, placing financial strain on hospitals and exacerbating existing workforce challenges.

The trend of healthcare providers dropping insurance plans reflects a broader crisis in the healthcare sector, driven by economic pressures, regulatory complexities, and conflicting priorities between insurers and providers. According to recent reports from the American Hospital Association (AHA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), the impact of these disputes is becoming increasingly visible. Providers report that excessive administrative requirements and reimbursement delays are forcing them to make difficult decisions about which plans they can afford to work with, and patients are often caught in the middle, facing reduced access to care and unexpected medical bills.

The objective of this paper is to explore the underlying reasons why healthcare providers are terminating their relationships with insurance companies, particularly focusing on Medicare Advantage and commercial plans. It will analyze the impact of these decisions on both healthcare providers and patients,

highlighting how administrative policies and financial strains contribute to a deteriorating healthcare environment. Finally, the paper will discuss potential policy solutions and advocacy efforts aimed at addressing these challenges, with the goal of fostering a more sustainable and patient-centered healthcare system. Through this analysis, the paper seeks to illuminate the urgent need for reforms that balance the needs of providers, insurers, and patients, ensuring that healthcare access and quality are not compromised in the pursuit of financial efficiency.

Background: Insurance Plans and Healthcare Providers

Insurance plans such as Medicare Advantage, Medicaid Managed Care, and commercial insurers play a pivotal role in the U.S. healthcare system, providing coverage for millions of Americans. These plans are intended to help manage healthcare costs, ensure access to a wide range of services, and support the coordination of care between patients and providers. However, the relationship between healthcare providers and insurers has become increasingly strained, with administrative burdens and financial disputes often overshadowing the intended benefits of these insurance arrangements.

Role of Medicare Advantage, Medicaid Managed Care, and Commercial Insurers

Medicare Advantage (MA) plans are a form of Medicare offered by private insurers as an alternative to traditional Medicare. These plans provide additional benefits, such as vision, dental, and hearing coverage, and often include prescription drug coverage. Medicaid Managed Care plans, on the other hand, involve states contracting with private insurers to deliver Medicaid benefits to low-income individuals and families. Commercial insurers, which include employer-sponsored health plans and individual market plans, provide coverage to the majority of the working-age population in the U.S. These plans are designed to manage costs through network arrangements, negotiated rates, and utilization management tools like prior authorization.

The fundamental goal of these insurance models is to deliver high-quality, cost-effective care by managing patient access to services, controlling spending through network agreements, and incentivizing preventive care. In theory, these

plans should enable efficient care coordination, improve health outcomes, and reduce unnecessary healthcare utilization by adhering to evidence-based guidelines.

Intended Function Versus Reality

Despite the intended benefits, the reality of the provider-insurer relationship often diverges significantly from these goals. Healthcare providers frequently encounter administrative challenges when working with these insurance plans, including burdensome documentation requirements, stringent prior authorization processes, and frequent claim denials. These obstacles create delays in care, increase administrative costs, and contribute to clinician burnout, ultimately undermining the quality and accessibility of patient care.

For instance, Medicare Advantage plans have come under scrutiny for their high rates of denial of medically necessary services. A report from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General found that many prior authorization denials and payment denials within MA plans were for services that met Medicare coverage rules and should have been approved. This misuse of utilization management tools creates significant barriers for patients needing timely care and adds unnecessary complexity to the provider's role, shifting focus away from patient care to managing insurer requirements.

Commercial insurers also contribute to these challenges. Many private health plans impose complex prior authorization requirements and frequently alter coverage rules mid-contract, which disrupts the care process. As providers struggle with unpaid claims and slow reimbursement, they are often forced to make difficult decisions about their participation in these insurance networks. This misalignment between the intended function of insurance plans and their real-world execution places an additional burden on healthcare systems already facing financial pressures.

Growth of Medicare Advantage Plans

Medicare Advantage enrollment has been steadily increasing, capturing an expanding share of the Medicare market. As of 2023, nearly half of all Medicare beneficiaries are enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans, and this number continues to grow at an annual rate of about 10%. This expansion reflects the

appeal of additional benefits and lower out-of-pocket costs that MA plans often offer compared to traditional Medicare. However, as these plans capture a larger market share, the issues associated with their administration become more pronounced, affecting a greater number of patients and providers.

The American Hospital Association (AHA) has noted that the rising dominance of Medicare Advantage and other private insurance models amplifies the challenges within the provider-insurer dynamic. With an increasing number of hospitals and health systems reporting financial strain due to delayed payments and denied claims, the sustainability of these insurance arrangements is called into question. The growth of Medicare Advantage, coupled with problematic practices from commercial insurers, signals a critical need for reevaluation and reform to realign these plans with their original purpose of supporting patient care and provider viability.

In summary, while insurance plans are designed to enhance the healthcare delivery system, the reality often falls short due to the complex and sometimes adversarial nature of provider-insurer relationships. The growing market share of plans like Medicare Advantage further intensifies these challenges, making it imperative to address the systemic issues that hinder the effective functioning of these insurance models.

Reasons Behind Dropping Insurance Plans

The decision by healthcare providers to drop insurance plans is rooted in a complex web of financial strains, administrative burdens, and ongoing disputes over reimbursement policies. These challenges create a landscape where the intended partnership between providers and insurers becomes increasingly untenable, leading providers to reconsider their participation in certain insurance networks.

Financial Strains and Administrative Burdens

One of the primary reasons healthcare providers are dropping insurance plans is the rising financial strain caused by high rates of payment denials and delays. Insurers, particularly Medicare Advantage plans and some commercial insurers, often deny claims for services that providers consider medically necessary.

According to the American Hospital Association (AHA), the median health system experienced a 56% increase in payment denials from Medicare Advantage plans between January 2022 and June 2023, significantly impacting their cash reserves. The financial consequences of these denials are severe, as hospitals face declining reserves while simultaneously contending with rising operational costs, including maintenance, utilities, and labor shortages.

The administrative burden of managing denied claims further exacerbates financial pressures. Providers must navigate complex appeals processes, reprocess denials, and manage the costs associated with unpaid claims, which can accumulate to millions of dollars in lost revenue. The AHA reports that 50% of hospitals have not been paid for claims totaling $100 million or more for over six months, highlighting the scale of the issue. These financial challenges create an unsustainable environment for many healthcare providers, prompting them to drop insurers that fail to provide timely and adequate reimbursement.

Prior Authorization and Administrative Challenges

Prior authorization is a common utilization management tool used by insurers to control costs and ensure that treatments align with clinical guidelines. However, this process often delays care, adds significant administrative overhead, and contributes to clinician burnout. The AHA has documented that excessive prior authorization requirements place an undue burden on providers, with 84% of nurses and 80% of physicians reporting that these policies delay patient care and interfere with their ability to practice medicine effectively.

The extensive documentation required for prior authorization not only delays treatment but also adds to the healthcare system's costs. Providers must dedicate time and resources to fulfill administrative requirements, detracting from direct patient care. This administrative overload has been linked to high rates of clinician burnout, exacerbating existing workforce shortages and diminishing the quality of care provided to patients.

Real-world examples illustrate the dire consequences of these administrative challenges. Case studies reveal numerous instances where patients were denied necessary treatments due to prior authorization delays. For example, a 72-year-old woman was denied breast reconstruction surgery for a cancerous tumor, and an MA plan refused to pay for a hospital bed for a 93-year-old patient with

multiple chronic conditions. These denials not only harm patients by delaying critical care but also undermine trust in the healthcare system.

Policy and Reimbursement Disputes

Ongoing disputes over reimbursement rates, contract terms, and policy changes further strain the relationship between healthcare providers and insurers. Providers often find themselves in contentious negotiations with insurers over payment rates that fail to cover the actual costs of care. Changes in contract terms mid-year and frequent denials for previously covered services disrupt the continuity of care, making it difficult for providers to plan financially and manage patient expectations.

The No Surprises Act, passed to protect patients from unexpected out-of-network charges, introduced the Independent Dispute Resolution (IDR) process as a means to mediate payment disputes between providers and insurers. The IDR process is designed to provide a fair resolution when parties cannot agree on payment rates for out-of-network services. However, while the IDR process offers a formal mechanism for resolving disputes, it does not address the underlying systemic issues that lead to frequent conflicts between providers and insurers.

Despite regulatory attempts to create a more balanced playing field, many providers remain frustrated with the lack of progress in achieving timely and fair reimbursement. The persistence of these disputes underscores the need for more robust oversight and policy interventions to realign the objectives of insurers and providers, ensuring that patient care remains the central focus.

In conclusion, the decision to drop insurance plans is driven by financial and administrative burdens that significantly impact healthcare providers. High denial rates, excessive administrative requirements, and ongoing disputes over reimbursement create an unsustainable environment, prompting providers to reconsider their participation in certain insurance networks. Addressing these challenges will require a concerted effort from policymakers, insurers, and providers to implement reforms that prioritize patient care and provider viability.

Impact on Patient Access and Quality of Care

The ongoing challenges between healthcare providers and insurers, particularly regarding delays, denials, and administrative burdens, have a profound impact on patient access and the overall quality of care. As insurance companies implement restrictive policies, the consequences are felt directly by patients, who experience delays in receiving necessary treatments, and by healthcare providers, who face increased workloads, burnout, and resource shortages.

Patient Outcomes and Access to Care

Delays and denials from insurers significantly impact patient outcomes, often leading to deteriorated health conditions and preventable complications. When insurers deny or delay approval for medically necessary treatments, patients may be forced to wait weeks or even months for the care they need. This can exacerbate chronic conditions, delay critical surgeries, and lead to more severe health outcomes that could have been avoided with timely intervention.

According to surveys conducted by the American Hospital Association (AHA), 62% of patients reported experiencing barriers to treatment due to insurance-related issues within the past two years. These barriers include excessive documentation requirements, frequent prior authorization denials, and changes to covered services. The survey highlights that these disruptions often result in patients becoming sicker while waiting for care, contributing to longer hospital stays, increased emergency room visits, and more intensive and costly treatments that could have been avoided with earlier intervention.

Further data from the AHA reveal that insurers' use of prior authorization—a process that should ideally ensure appropriate care—is frequently applied in ways that hinder patient access to necessary services. For example, prior authorization is often required for routine procedures or well-established treatments, adding unnecessary layers of bureaucracy that delay patient care. The administrative complexities of these requirements create confusion and frustration among patients, who may not understand why their treatments are delayed or denied. As a result, patient trust in the healthcare system erodes, and their overall health suffers.

The impact of these practices is not merely statistical; it is deeply personal and often tragic. Case studies have documented instances where patients faced significant health setbacks due to insurance denials. One example involves a patient with a cancer diagnosis whose critical surgery was delayed because prior authorization was not granted in time. Another case described a patient with severe stroke symptoms being denied access to a rehabilitation facility, ultimately resulting in worsened neurological outcomes and a longer recovery period. These stories reflect the human cost of insurer-driven delays and denials, highlighting the urgent need for reform in how insurers manage patient care authorizations.

Provider Workload and Burnout

The administrative burdens placed on healthcare providers by insurance companies also have a detrimental effect on care delivery. Physicians, nurses, and other healthcare professionals are increasingly overwhelmed by the volume of paperwork, appeals, and compliance requirements needed to secure approvals for patient treatments. This administrative overload not only diverts time and attention away from patient care but also contributes significantly to workforce burnout—a problem that has reached critical levels in recent years.

According to the AHA, 84% of nurses and 80% of physicians report that insurer administrative policies delay patient care and interfere with their ability to practice effectively. The constant need to navigate complex insurance rules, respond to denials, and resubmit claims places a heavy toll on providers. These tasks add hours of non-clinical work to providers' schedules, often extending their workdays and reducing the time they can dedicate to direct patient care. The resulting burnout is compounded by existing workforce shortages, with many providers leaving the profession due to the unsustainable pressures of their roles.

The consequences of this burnout extend beyond individual providers. High turnover rates among clinicians strain healthcare systems already grappling with staffing shortages, leading to longer wait times, reduced access to specialists, and lower quality of care. The CMS has acknowledged that administrative requirements, such as prior authorizations and claims management, are key drivers of clinician burnout, further aggravating the workforce crisis and ultimately impacting patient safety and care quality.

In some extreme cases, healthcare providers have been forced to reduce services or close departments due to the combined financial and administrative pressures of insurer demands. Rural hospitals and smaller health systems, in particular, are vulnerable to these pressures, which can result in service reductions that disproportionately affect already underserved populations. The systemic nature of these challenges underscores the urgent need for policy interventions that address not only the financial aspects of healthcare but also the human cost of insurer-driven inefficiencies.

In summary, the impact of insurer policies on patient access and quality of care is profound and multifaceted. The delays and denials of care harm patients directly, leading to worsened health outcomes and greater healthcare costs down the line. Simultaneously, the administrative demands placed on providers contribute to burnout and exacerbate workforce shortages, further straining the healthcare system. Addressing these issues is crucial to ensuring that the healthcare system functions as intended—prioritizing patient care and supporting the providers who deliver it.

Policy Recommendations and Advocacy Efforts

The growing rift between healthcare providers and insurers has sparked calls for increased regulatory oversight and policy reforms aimed at protecting patient access and reducing the administrative burdens faced by healthcare professionals. Organizations like the American Hospital Association (AHA), alongside other healthcare stakeholders, are actively advocating for changes that address the detrimental practices of insurers and improve the overall functioning of the healthcare system.

Calls for Regulatory Oversight and Reform

One of the primary recommendations from the AHA and other healthcare organizations is the need for enhanced regulatory oversight of insurance practices that adversely affect patient care. Reports have highlighted how insurer policies, such as excessive prior authorization requirements, high rates of claim denials, and delayed reimbursements, not only disrupt patient access but also impose significant financial and administrative burdens on providers. To counter these

issues, the AHA has called for more stringent regulations to hold insurers accountable for practices that undermine care delivery and financial stability.

The AHA recommends policy interventions that include mandatory transparency in the prior authorization process, standardized timelines for claim approvals, and limitations on the administrative demands insurers can place on providers. They argue that these measures would reduce the unnecessary hurdles that delay patient care and drain healthcare resources. Additionally, the AHA supports regulatory changes that require insurers to simplify their appeals processes and minimize documentation requirements that create excessive workloads for healthcare staff.

Proposed legislative actions have also been a focal point of advocacy efforts. For instance, there have been calls to expand the scope of the No Surprises Act, which currently protects patients from unexpected out-of-network charges, to include broader protections against unreasonable denials and delays by insurers. By enhancing this legislation, policymakers could provide a more balanced environment where insurers are discouraged from engaging in practices that hinder access to necessary medical services.

Another area of focus is improving payment transparency and timeliness. The AHA has suggested that insurers be required to adhere to standardized payment timelines to ensure that providers receive reimbursement promptly. These proposed changes aim to alleviate the cash flow issues that many hospitals face due to delayed payments, particularly from Medicare Advantage plans and other private insurers. Improved payment transparency would also enable providers to better manage their financial resources and reduce the administrative costs associated with chasing unpaid claims.

Promoting Fair Coverage and Access

To foster a more cooperative relationship between providers and insurers, the AHA and other stakeholders have recommended several strategies to promote fair coverage and access. One of the key suggestions is the establishment of clearer guidelines that define the responsibilities of both insurers and providers in managing patient care. By creating a framework that standardizes coverage rules and eliminates arbitrary changes to plan benefits, providers can operate with greater certainty and focus on delivering quality care.

The AHA has also called for the implementation of real-time electronic prior authorization systems that reduce waiting times for approval decisions. Such systems would streamline the authorization process, allowing for faster responses and minimizing the administrative workload on healthcare providers. By adopting these technologies, insurers can help reduce the delays that currently frustrate both providers and patients, leading to improved access to care.

Advocacy efforts also emphasize the importance of maintaining a fair balance between cost control and patient access. Insurers are encouraged to work closely with healthcare providers to develop utilization management criteria that are evidence-based, transparent, and patient-centered. This collaborative approach can help ensure that cost-saving measures do not come at the expense of patient health and that providers are not unduly burdened by excessive administrative requirements.

The potential impact of these policy changes is significant. By reducing administrative burdens, healthcare providers can redirect resources and time toward direct patient care, enhancing overall service quality and patient satisfaction. Moreover, improved reimbursement processes and greater payment transparency would bolster the financial stability of healthcare providers, particularly those in rural or underserved areas that are most vulnerable to cash flow disruptions caused by delayed payments.

In conclusion, the advocacy efforts led by the AHA and other healthcare organizations reflect a concerted push towards creating a more equitable and efficient healthcare system. Policy recommendations focus on curbing insurer practices that harm patient access, simplifying administrative processes, and ensuring fair and timely reimbursement for providers. These reforms aim to realign the healthcare system's priorities, emphasizing patient care and provider sustainability over bureaucratic and financial obstacles. The successful implementation of these recommendations would represent a significant step forward in addressing the systemic issues that currently plague the provider-insurer relationship, ultimately improving the quality and accessibility of healthcare in the United States.

Conclusion

The decision by healthcare providers to drop insurance plans, particularly Medicare Advantage and commercial plans, is driven by a combination of financial strains, administrative burdens, and ongoing disputes over reimbursement policies. High rates of claim denials, delayed payments, and excessive prior authorization requirements have created an untenable situation for many hospitals and healthcare systems. These practices place significant financial pressure on providers, disrupt the delivery of care, and contribute to a cycle of delays and inefficiencies that ultimately harm patients. As healthcare providers are forced to navigate increasingly complex administrative landscapes, the core mission of patient care is often sidelined in favor of managing insurer-driven obstacles.

The broader implications for the U.S. healthcare system are profound. The strained relationship between providers and insurers threatens the accessibility and quality of care for millions of Americans. Patients face delays in receiving necessary treatments, and many are denied access to services that are essential for their health and well-being. Administrative burdens imposed by insurers not only add costs to the healthcare system but also contribute to clinician burnout and workforce shortages, further exacerbating the challenges faced by providers. This environment undermines patient trust in the healthcare system and creates barriers that disproportionately affect vulnerable populations, including those in rural or underserved areas.

Addressing these challenges requires a balanced approach to reform that prioritizes the needs of both patients and providers. Regulatory oversight and policy changes are necessary to curb harmful insurer practices and ensure that insurance plans function as intended—to support access to quality care rather than hinder it. Proposed reforms, such as improving payment transparency, streamlining prior authorization processes, and establishing fairer reimbursement practices, are critical steps toward creating a more sustainable healthcare environment.

The call for reforms is not just about alleviating financial and administrative pressures; it is about protecting the fundamental rights of patients to receive timely, necessary care. By implementing policies that hold insurers accountable

and reduce the administrative load on providers, the healthcare system can better align with its mission to serve patients effectively and equitably. Ultimately, these changes would help restore balance to the provider-insurer relationship, ensuring that healthcare access and quality are not compromised in the pursuit of cost control.

In conclusion, the issues driving providers to drop insurance plans reflect deeper systemic problems within the U.S. healthcare system. To address these challenges, there must be a concerted effort from policymakers, insurers, and healthcare organizations to implement reforms that protect patient interests while supporting provider sustainability. By fostering a more collaborative and transparent approach, the healthcare system can move toward a future where access to high-quality care is not a privilege but a guaranteed right for all.

References

1. **American Hospital Association (AHA).** "Let's End Commercial Insurer Barriers that Reduce Access to Care." AHA News. July 14, 2023. This report highlights the administrative and financial burdens imposed by commercial insurers, including high denial rates, delayed payments, and their impact on patient care and provider sustainability. It provides survey data showing the extent of these issues among patients and providers.
2. **American Hospital Association (AHA).** "Addressing Commercial Health Plan Challenges to Ensure Fair Coverage for Patients and Providers." This white paper examines problematic practices among commercial health insurers, such as the misuse of prior authorization and payment delays, and provides policy recommendations for reducing administrative burdens and improving access to care.
3. **American Hospital Association (AHA).** "Report: U.S. hospitals face diminished reserves, mounting reimbursement challenges." November 17, 2023. This analysis by AHA and Syntellis Performance Solutions shows the financial impact of increasing payment denials on hospital cash reserves and highlights the broader financial challenges faced by healthcare providers due to insurer practices.
4. **Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).** "Payment disputes between providers and health plans." CMS.gov. This document outlines the Independent Dispute Resolution (IDR) process established under the No Surprises Act, which aims to mediate payment disputes between providers and insurers and mitigate the impact of out-of-network charges on patients.
5. **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (OIG).** Report on Prior Authorization Denials in Medicare Advantage Plans. This report documents the high rates of improper prior authorization denials within Medicare Advantage, highlighting cases where medically necessary services were unjustly denied, leading to delays in care and adverse patient outcomes.

6. **Morning Consult Surveys for the American Hospital Association.** AHA commissioned surveys showing the significant impact of insurer policies on patients and healthcare providers, including the increased burden of administrative tasks and delays in accessing care.
7. **Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA).** Reports on financial challenges in healthcare, including the impact of insurer practices on hospital revenues and the administrative costs associated with managing denials and appeals.
8. **Becker's Hospital Review.** Multiple articles detailing specific examples of healthcare providers dropping Medicare Advantage and other insurance plans due to administrative and financial pressures. These reports provide real-world insights into how insurer practices affect providers' decisions and patient care.
9. **Commonwealth Fund.** Reports examining the frequency of insurance denials among working-age adults and the financial implications for patients facing unexpected medical bills due to insurer practices.

These references provide a comprehensive basis for understanding the financial, administrative, and policy-related factors driving healthcare providers to drop insurance plans. They include data from authoritative sources, case studies, and advocacy perspectives that illustrate the impact of insurer practices on patient access and provider sustainability.

HEALICALE
PROVIDERS
INSURANCE
DENIAL
FORMS
DENIAL
DENIYIAL
INSURANCE